

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,231	10/25/2000	Masaru Ohkubo	00407.00004	2893
22909	7590 01/16/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1001 G STREET, N.W.			NGUYEN, THANH T	
	ON, DC 20001-4597		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2144	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
i I	09/695,231	OHKUBO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Thanh T Nguyen	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover shee	ot with the correspondence ac	ddress
* <del>*</del>		(a) MONTH(C) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse is failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, maication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the correction of the correction will apply and will expire SIX (6).  II. by statute, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status	05 October 2000		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed			
<b>/-</b>	This action is non-final.		
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>	e under <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e ments is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the ap			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement		
Application Papers	on and/or election requirement	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer		
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the		objected to by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objecti			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	ocuments have been received fithe priority documents have be all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies	in Application No  been received in this National  not received.	
since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang	in the first sentence of the spe- uage provisional application ha	cification or in an Applicatior as been received.	n Data Sheet.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sente			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo	O-948) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	per No(s) 6)  Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/695,231

Art Unit: 2144



#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
vww.uspto.gov

## **Detailed Office Action**

- 1. This action is in response to the application **09/695,231** filed October 25, 2000.
- 2. Claims 1-15 have been examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 4, 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by

Application/Control Number: 09/695,231

Art Unit: 2144

Miyata et al. (USPN 6,339,726- Date of Patent: January 15, 2002, herein referred to as "Miyata").

Page 3

- 5. As to claim 1, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, including a control system for an automatic vending machine including a controlled device, said control system comprising: a terminal control unit connected to said controlled device for controlling said controlled device in accordance with a control program (Fig.3, Control Unit, Sub-control Unit a 202A); and a main control unit connected to said terminal control unit through a transmission path for controlling said terminal control unit through communication with said terminal control unit, said main control unit including transfer means for transferring a signal with a new control program to said terminal control unit through said transmission path (col.1, lines 15-20 and col.2, lines 1-19); said terminal control unit comprising: memorizing means for memorizing the first-mentioned control program as a memorized control program (col.2, lines 3-19); and rewriting means connected to said memorizing means and said transfer means for rewriting said memorized control program into said new control program (col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 2 and col.2, lines 29-34).
- 6. As to claim 4, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said automatic vending machine further includes another controlled device, said control system further comprising another terminal control unit connected to said other controlled device, said main control unit being connected to said other terminal control unit, said transfer rate control means being connected to said main control unit and controlling said main control unit to suppress the communication between said other control unit and said main control unit when said control

Application/Control Number: 09/695,231

Art Unit: 2144

program is transferred from said main control unit to the first mentioned terminal control unit (Fig.3, Control Unit connect to other control device).

- 7. As to claim 6, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said main control unit comprises input means connected to said transfer means for supplying said new control program to said transfer, means (col.4, lines 34-38).
- As to claim 7, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said input means is 8. adapted to use of a removable storage medium memorizing said new control program, said input means reading said new control program from said removable storage medium to supply said new control program to said transfer means (col.4, lines 47-50).
- 9. As to claim 8, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said input means is connected to a communication line for transmitting said new control program, said input means receiving said new control program through said communication line to supply said new control program to said transfer means (col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 2).
- 10. As to claim 9, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said main control unit comprises judging means connected to said transfer means for judging in response to said new control program whether or not a rewrite of said memorized control program is to be executed, said judging means permitting said transfer means to transmit said new control program towards said terminal control unit when said rewrite is to be executed (col.3, lines 3-20).
- 11. As to claim 10, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising judging means connected to said transfer means for judging with reference to said signal whether or not said memorized control program should be rewritten, said judging means permitting said

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/695,231 Page 5

Art Unit: 2144

rewriting means to access said memorizing means when said memorized control program should be rewritten (col.4, lines 35-38).

- 12. As to claim 11, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said judging means judges whether or not said signal includes said new control program directed to said terminal control unit, said judging means permitting said rewriting means to access said memorizing means only when said signal includes said new control program directed to terminal control unit (col.4, lines 35-38).
- 13. As to claim 12, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said judging means compares version information of said new control program with version information of said memorized control program to permit said rewriting means to access said memorizing means only when the version information of said new control program is updated (col.4,lines 47-50).
- 14. As to claim 13, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said judging means is included in said main control unit (Fig.3, Main control Unit 1).
- 15. As to claim 14, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said judging means is included in said terminal control unit (Fig.3, Sub-control unit A 202A).
- As to claim 15, Miyata teaches the invention as claimed, wherein further comprising indicating means connected to said rewriting means for indicating execution of rewriting said memorized control program operation (col.4, lines 39-52).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/695,231 Page 6

Art Unit: 2144

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 18. Claims 2,3,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Miyata et al., (hereinafter Miyata) U.S. Patent No. 6,339,726 in view of Alexander, Jr et al., (hereinafter Alexander Jr) U.S. Patent No. 6,625,158.
- 19. As to claim 2, Miyata does not explicitly disclose increasing a data transfer rate.

  However, Alexander discloses increasing a data transfer rate (col.2, lines 38-55, and col.11, lines 7-14). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Miyata with the teaching of Alexander in order to reduce the costs significantly.
- As to claim 3, Miyata fails to explicitly teach the communication speed increased. However, Alexander discloses the communication speed increased (col.2, lines 38-55, and col.11, lines 7-14). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Miyata with the teaching of Alexander in order to reduce the costs significantly.
- 21. As to claim 5, Miyata and fail to explicitly teach using of a normal communication protocol, switching said normal communication protocol into a special communication protocol, However, Alexander discloses using of a normal communication protocol, switching said normal communication protocol into a special communication protocol (col.2, lines 38-55). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine

Page 7

the teaching of Miyata with the teaching of Alexander in order to provide enhanced performance in communications involving multiple emulated data communications networks.

#### Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kato et al

U.S. Patent No. 6,233,255

Issued May 15, 2001

Abecassis

U.S. Patent No. 5,610,652

Issued March 11, 1997

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tammy T. Nguyen** who may be reached via telephone at **(703) 305-7982**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. eastern standard time.

If you need to send the Examiner, a facsimile transmission regarding this instant application, please send it to (703) 872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, **David Wiley**, may be reached at (703) 308-5221.

*TTN* January 8, 2004

DAVAD WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER